



#103, First Floor, Eldams Road Teynampet, Chennai - 600 018

Tamil Nadu, India

Phone : 91-44 - 2466 0387/ 2499 4458

: 91 - 44 - 2499 4458 Fax Email : helpdesk@cag.org.in Website : www.cag.org.in

To Shri. Maneesh Kumar Assistant Inspector General of Forests (FP) Ministry for Environment, Forest & Climate Change Government of India, 6th Floor, Jal Wing, Paryavaran Bhawan New Delhi - 110 003 forestpolicy-moefcc@gov.in

Subject: Submission of comments/views on the proposed amendment in the Indian Forest Act, 1927

Dear Sir,

Citizen consumer and civic Action Group (CAG), founded in 1985, is a non-profit and non-political organisation. CAG has an established reputation for undertaking high-quality, independent, objective action-research on urban governance, consumer protection, and environmental protection and, based on that, to provide recommendations that inform and improve policy and practice.

The forests act as the guardians and protectors of the wild flora and fauna of the country. Its uses are many in terms of preserving biodiversity and improving the livelihood of the tribal population, to mention a few, which help maintain the ecological balance throughout the country. The conservation of forests is essential to mitigate climate change which is a global concern today, and control air and water pollution. Therefore, the Indian Forest Act of 1927 (IFA) was enacted to protect India's forest resources and its ecosystems. The implementation of the provisions of the IFA in letter and spirit will help us to protect and conserve our forested areas to a greater extent. Therefore, we should always prioritise conservation rather than unsustainably exploiting forest resources.

Against this background, the MoEFCC issued the notice for public consultation on 09th July 2022 for receiving comments on the proposed amendment, by giving just 21 days for the public to respond.. It is a common practice that for any public consultation, there should be a minimum of 30 days' notice to facilitate receiving comments from citizens, civil society organisations and other relevant stakeholders. This will add value to the real purpose of public consultation and help in receiving more, reliable, responses. Therefore, we kindly suggest that in future, any public consultation should be given a minimum of 30 days' notice.

With this, we hereby submit our comments/suggestions on the proposed amendment in the IFA in the hope that you will incorporate these suggestions which would help further strengthen the penal provisions of the IFAthereby a step forward in protecting and conserving our forests and its ecosystems for all living beings.

Yours sincerely,

S. Saroia

Executive Director

Ms. Tara Murali (Architect) Mr. N.L. Rajah (Senior Advocate)

Advisors



Comments on the proposed amendments to the India Forest Act, 1927 (IFA)

Ref: Notice for public consultation dated 09 July 2022 from the Forest Policy Division, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change,

	Government of India			
Section	Existing	Proposed provision	Comments	
26	(1) Any person who –	(1) Any person who – in sub section (1) of 26, clause (c), (d), and (e) shall be omitted;	The proposed amendments are made as there are difficulties	
	(c) kindles, keeps or carries any fire except at		differentiating between a major and	
	such seasons as the Forest-officer may notify in	and after sub-section (1), the following	minor offence. There is no rationale	
	this behalf,	sub-section shall be inserted, namely:-	in the notice on what constitutes a major or minor offence. The public	
	(d) trespasses or pastures cattle, or permits cattle to trespass;	"(1A) Any person who, in a reserved forest-	notice should have provided a clear distinction between major and	
		(a) kindles, keeps or carries any fire except at	minor offences.	
	(e) causes any damage by negligence in felling	such seasons as the Forest-officer may notify		
	any tree or cutting or dragging any timber;	in this behalf;	2. It is understandable removing	
	shall be punishable with imprisonment for a	(b) trespasses or pastures cattle, or permits	imprisonment for minor offences will help expedite resolution under IFA.	
		cattle to trespass;	But retaining a 500 rupees fine	
	fine which may extend to five hundred rupees,	outro to a copaco,	seems too minimal for an offence	
	or with both, in addition to such compensation	(c) causes any damage by negligence in felling	under this Act. Therefore, in the	
	for damage done to the forest as the convicting	any tree or cutting or dragging any timber;	proposed revision, the fine amount	
	Court may direct to be paid.	 shall be punishable with fine which may extend	should be increased from 500 to	
		to five hundred rupees, or with both, in addition	1000 1440003.	
		to such compensation for damage done to the	3. Also the existing section 26(1)(e)	
		forest as the convicting Court may direct to be	should be considered a major	
		paid."	offence as it involves an act of	
			causing damage to forest.	
			4. Further it is prudent to increase	
			the severity of punishments for	
			major offences. Therefore, It is	
			suggested that the punishment for	



violation of existing sections 26(1), (a), (b), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year, or with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees, or with both, in addition to such compensation for damage done to the forest as the convicting Court may direct to be paid.

5. The available Crime in India statistics brought out by the National Crime Records Bureau show, that in 2020, just around 2000 odd cases were registered under the IFA for the whole of India. This is an indicator that IFA is not being enforced adequately, given the geographical extent and forest cover of our country. Moreover, penal provisions are made to make every citizen comply, not to reduce the compliance burden on people as justified in the referred public notice. If the enforcement agencies follow the due process of law without any unwarranted excesses, the citizens will not be harassed. We should make the law enforcement agencies accountable for any wrongdoing, by which we can prevent the harassment of citizens, and not by diluting existing



			provisions of law meant to protect forests.
33	Penalties for acts in contravention of notification under section 30 or of rules under section 32	Penalties for acts in contravention of notification under section 30 or of rules under section 32	6. In line with comment no. 2, the fine amount should be increased from 500 to 1000 rupees for
	(1) Any person who commits any of the		existing sections 33(1), (e) and (g).
	following offences, namely:-	(1) Any person who commits any of the	
		following offences, namely:- in sub-section (1),	7. As section 33(1)(f) involves
	(e) leaves burning any fire kindled by him in the vicinity of any tree reserved under section 30,	clauses (e), (f), and (g) shall be omitted;	felling of trees, it should be considered a major offence.
	whether standing, fallen or closed portion of any	and after sub-section (1), the following	•
	protected forest;	sub-section shall be inserted, namely:-	8. In line with the comment no. 4, the punishment for violation of
	(f) fells any tree or drags any timber so as to	"(1A) Any person who commits any of the	existing sections 33(1), (a), (b),
	damage any tree reserved as aforesaid;	following offences, namely:-	(c), (d), (f) and (h) shall be punishable with imprisonment
	(g) permits cattle to damage any such tree;	(a) leaves burning any fire kindled by him in the vicinity of any tree reserved under section	for a term which may extend to one year, or with fine which may
	shall be punishable with imprisonment for a	30, whether standing, fallen or closed portion	extend to two thousand rupees,
			or with both, in addition to such compensation for damage done to
	or with both.	(b) fells any tree or drags any timber so as to	the forest as the convicting Court
		damage any tree reserved as aforesaid;	may direct to be paid.
		(c) permits cattle to damage any such tree;	
		Shall be punishable with fine which may	
		extend to five hundred rupees."	

Additional comment:

- 1. As the Union government, through the above-referred notice for public consultation on proposed amendments to the IFA, intends to differentiate punishments for habitual and first-time offenders. Therefore, the following comment is made:
- 2. An additional section, namely, **Punishment for the habitual offender**, may be added under existing Sections 26 and 33, and under which whoever convicted of an offence under existing sections 26(1), (a), (b), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) and 33(1), (a), (b), (c),



- (d), (f) and (h), shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which shall be not less three years but which may extend to five years and shall also be liable to fine which may extend to ten thousand rupees, or with both, in addition to such compensation for damage done to the forest as the convicting Court may direct to be paid, may be added.
- 3. For the purpose of this Act, the habitual offender may be defined as follows: Any person been convicted and sentenced to imprisonment or fined more than twice under existing sections 26(1), (a), (b), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) and 33(1), (a), (b), (c), (d), (f) and (h), of the Indian Forest Act, 1927.